	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/595,273	VAN DEN BRINK, JOHAN SAMUEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tiffany A. Fetzner	2831
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Appeal brief filed</u>		
(1) <u>Tiffany A. Fetzner</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert M. Sieg Reg. No. 54, 446.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 November 2002	Time: <u>4:30 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed:		
Claims 1-18, it was agreed that claims 1 and 11 would be canceled, in favor of claims 13 and 16 being written in independent form, independent claim 12 being amended, and all other dependent claims being amended as needed to ensure a proper dependency		
Prior art documents discussed:		
None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/T. A. F./		
Examiner, Art Unit 2831	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

